Syndiotactic poly[(R,S)- β -hydroxybutyrate] isolated from **methylaluminoxane-catalyzed polymerization**

P. J. Hocking and R. H. Marchessault*

Department of Chemistry, McGill University, 3420 University Street, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2A7

Summary:

Poly[(R,S)-β-hydroxybutyrate] was prepared via the methylaluminoxane-catalyzed polymerization of (R, S) - β -butyrolactone, fractionated, and characterized by ¹H and 13C nmr, gel *permeation chromatography, differential* scanning *calorimetry,* and x-ray diffraction. All the *material* isolated from these *reactions* was identified by nmr as poly(β-hydroxybutyrate), but in each case, ¹³C nmr showed that a portion of the *material contained* more *syndiotactic* than *isotactic* diads. Although this new *syndiotactic material* was low in *molecular* weight, it showed crystallinity by *differential* scanning *calorimetry* and x-ray diffraction, with dspacings different in value and *relative* intensity from those of the *isotactic* polymer.

Introduction:

Poly([~-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) is *a bacterial* polyester whose *thermoplastic* properties and *biodegradability are* currently of great interest (1,2). As *made* by *bacteria,* all chiral carbons *have* an R configuration, leading to *a perfectly isotactic* polymer with *a left-handed helical* structure (3,4). The *material can* also be *made synthetically* by ring-opening *polymerization* of *~-butyrolactone* (5-8). Optically *active* synthetic PHB *can be prepared* either by starting with optically *active lactone* (9,10) or by using *a stereoelective catalyst* with *racemic* monomer (11,12). Studies using *racemic lactone* with a diethyl *zinc~water catalyst have* produced *atactic* polymer (7,8), while the *trialkylaluminum/water catalyst* has a *stereoregulating effect* (5-8, 13), yielding *stereoblock* polymer with an x-ray diffraction pattern *identical* to that of *bacterially-produced* PHB. Improved results *are* reported using preformed oligomeric *catalysts prepared* by the prior *reaction* and high vacuum *treatment* of trialkylaluminum and water (7,8,10,14,15).

In this study, racemic β-butyrolactone was polymerized using *methylaluminoxane* (MAO), an oligomeric *catalyst* of structure I. The majority of

 $CH₃$ $CH₃$ ł CH_3 -(-AI-O-)_n-AI-CH₃

the product was *isotactic,* ranging from *atactic* to mainly *isotactic* diads. Additionally, in contrast to *bacterial* PHB, a new *stereospecific species syndiotactic* in *character* was obtained. Although low in yield and in *molecular* weight, this *material* was shown by nmr to *have a* surplus of *syndiotactic* diads, and different melting behaviourand x-ray diffraction than *bacterial* PHB or isotactic synthetic *material.*

^{*}Corresponding author

Experimental:

Materials:

(R,S)-J3-butyrolactone was purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Co. and purified before use by heating to 80 \degree C over CaH₂ for four hours and distilling under reduced pressure. The lactone was stored overnight under argon then checked by FTIR before proceeding.

Methylaluminoxane (MAO) was purchased from Ethyl Corp. as a 12 wt% solution in toluene, reported by them to be 5.5 wt% AI and to have a gas:aluminum mole ratio of 1.58. This was used as received without further analysis, having been stored under nitrogen for about six months at the time of the reported reactions.

Polymerization:

Polymerizations were done in thick-walled glass ampoules with side arms by a method modified from that of Bloembergen *et al.* (13). Ampoules and syringes were rinsed with acetone and dried overnight in an oven at 80° C, then cooled to room temperature in a dessicator. Transfers were done by syringe in an argonfilled dry box. In a typical polymerization, (R, S) - β -butyrolactone was added to the MAO solution at -98°C and stirred magnetically until homogeneous. The ampoule was then sealed, removed from the dry box, and attached via the side arm to a vacuum line, where the pressure was reduced to below 10^{-3} torr through a series of freeze/pump/thaw cycles. The ampoule was then re-sealed, removed from the vacuum line, and held at 60° C for seven days, during which time the contents went from pale yellow or colourless liquid to transparent bright yellow solid.

After the polymerization period, the ampoule was opened and the rubbery solid was dissolved in a minimum of warm chloroform, to which 5% acetylacetone had been added to remove aluminum products. The solution was then filtered through a coarse glass frit and poured slowly into a six-fold excess of stirred, icecold methanol. The resulting white precipitate was separated from the yellow solution by filtration or centrifugation, washed with ice-cold methanol, slurried in distilled water, and freeze-dried overnight before fractionation. The yellow solution was combined with the methanol washings, evaporated to about 50 mL, sealed, and stored at 0° C.

Fractionation:

The initial white *precipitate* was *fractionated* according to its solubility in *acetone.* First, the *freeze-dried precipitate* was stirred in 50-60 mL *reagent* grade acetone for 4-5 hours, *separating* it into *acetone-soluble* and *acetone-insoluble* fractions. The *acetone* solution was *evaporated* to dryness and *cast* from chloroform to form a colourless or yellow film, fraction la. The component insoluble in *acetone at* room *temperature* was *extracted* overnight with boiling *acetone* in a Soxhlet *extractor.* The fraction soluble in boiling *acetone* was cast into a clear film, fraction 1b, while the solid residue was washed with distilled water and freezedried overnight, giving fraction lc as a white powder.

Additional fractions were obtained from the yellow methanol filtrate from which the original white *precipitate* had been isolated (Figure 1). After storing this concentrated solution at 0^oC for 4-7 days, a white precipitate was isolated from the yellow solution by *centrifugation.* This was washed with cold methanol, slurried in distilled water, and *freeze-dried* overnight to yield a white powder, fraction 2. The *collected* methanol *washings were* added to the *supernatant,* then evaporated to about 50 mL, re-sealed, and stored at 0°C for another 1-8 weeks. After this time, a further white *precipitate* had formed, which was again isolated

Figure 1: *Fractionation* of Synthetic PHB

by *centrifugation,* washed with cold methanol, and *freeze-dried* from water if the *amount was sufficient,* giving a white powder, fraction 3.

Cha ra cte riza tion :

Proton nmr *spectra* were *recorded* on a Varian XL-200 or a JEOL CPF-270 *spectrometer* for 50-100 transients, using 0.01 g/mL polymer solutions in CDCI3 with TMS as internal standard. *Proton-decoupled* 13C nmr *spectra* were *recorded* on 0.03 g/mL polymer solutions in CDCI3, using either a Varian XL-300 or a JEOL CPF-270 *spectrometer,* and *collecting* 1500-2500 transients. The XL-300 was run at 75.4 MHz, with *a spectral* window of 16500 Hz, acquisition time of 0.970 s, pulse width of 38.8 \degree , and 1.0 Hz line broadening. The CPF-270 ran at 67.8 MHz, with a spectral window of 18050 Hz, acquisition time of 0.908 s, pulse width of 30[°], and pulse delay of 0.8 s. All nmr *spectra* were *recorded at ambient temperature.*

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) data were recorded at 30°C on a Waters 150CV GPC equipped with a linear Waters pstyragel high *temperature* column and *a differential refractive* index *detector.* Chloroform was used as the eluent at a flow *rate* of 1.0 mL/min. Sample *concentrations* were about 0.2%, with an injection volume of 100 pLand *duplicate* injections of *each.* Universal *calibration* was done using polystyrene standards *purchased* from *Scientific* Polymer Products and published Mark-Houwink *parameters* for polystyrene (16) and PHB (17).

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer DSC-7 at a heating rate of 20 \textdegree C/min from -25 to 140 or 180 \textdegree C, with baseline subtraction. After quenching at 200 \textdegree C/min, a second scan was run under the same conditions asthe first. Indium and isodecane standards were used for calibration. Samples were stored at room temperature for at least one month prior to analysis, and run as isolated (powder or film), without annealing. Melting temperatures and enthalpies of melting were taken from the first scan, with the peak maximum of the highest melting endotherm used if multiple endotherms were present; glass transition temperatures were taken from the second. After one month at room temperature for recrystallization, the previously melted samples were rerun under the same conditions to verify melting endotherms.

X-ray diffraction patterns were recorded at 40 kV and 20 mA using a Philips PW 1730 x-ray generator with a Ni filter to provide Cu Ka radiation $(\lambda = 1.542\text{\AA})$. Diffractometer scans were recorded for $2\theta = 8-36^\circ$ using a Philips powder diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator. D-spacings were *calculated* from x-ray diffraction photographs *recorded at* room *temperature* under vacuum using a Statton flat plate *camera,* 0.015" pinhole collimator and 5 cm film to sample *distance.* Samples were stored at room *temperature* for at least one month before running. For *diffractometer* scans, sample powders were *placed* in aluminum holders, while photographs were *recorded* on samples in 1.0 mm glass *capillaries,* with finely powdered NaF mixed with the sample or *adhered* to the *capillary* to *calibrate* the sample to film *distance.*

Results **and Discussion:**

Four *reactions* were performed with variation in the *monomer:catalyst* ratio and *temperature at* which the monomer and *catalyst* were mixed, as listed in Table 1.

reaction (molar Al: lactone ratio)	mL MAO solution (mmol Al	T of mon/cat mixing $(^{\circ}C)$	Pat sealing (torr)	yield (%)	fraction]	fractionated yield (% of total yield)	%isotactic diads (± 5%)
(1:20)	5.00 (8.66)	$\overline{25}$	1.2×10^{-3}	7.4	1a 1b $\frac{1}{2}$ 3	$\overline{15}$ 13 58 $\frac{5}{9}$	$\overline{55}$ 58 79 37 39
$\overline{2}$ (1:20)	5.00 (8.66)	-98	2.0×10^{-3}	5.6	1a 1b $\frac{1}{2}$ 3	20 14 32 12 22	52 56 85 36 40
3 (1:25)	4.00 (6.93)	-98	4.6×10^{-4}	18	1a 1b 1 _c $\frac{2}{3}$	43 9 $\begin{array}{c} 44 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$	50 52 81 32 34
4 (1:15)	6.67 (11.6)	-98	5.0×10^{-4}	17	1a 1b 1 _c $\frac{2}{3}$	55 14 20 6 4	50 50 80 34 35

Table 1 : *Polymerization* conditions*, yields, and *tacticities*

* 14.13 mL (0.173 mol) (R,S)- β- butyrolactone used in each case

Overall yields were low, *especially* in the first two *reactions* where less *effective vacua* were obtained. Comparison of *relative* yields of the fractions within *each reaction* shows that the single largest fraction was the hot *acetone* insoluble one, *except* in *reaction* 4with the 1:15 *Al:lactone* ratio. Yields of fractions 2 and 3 were much lower than those of fraction 1; obviously, these constitute minor components of the *reaction.*

Each fraction was examined by both ¹H and ¹³C nmr, revealing the typical *spectra* of PHB. *Tacticities* of the fractions *can* be determined by examining expansions of the methyl peak in ¹H nmr (~1.25 ppm), or the carbonyl peak in ¹³C nmr $(-169$ ppm) (13) ; as quantification is easier from the carbonyl peak, this was the method of choice. In all but perfectly isotactic (or perfectly syndiotactic) samples, this peak is divided into two components, corresponding to syndiotactic (racemic) and to isotactic (meso) diads. Integration of these components allows

the quantification of tacticity as percent isotactic or syndiotactic diads, with 50% isotactic diads corresponding to an atactic polymer.

Examination of the percent isotactic diads in the materials listed in Table 1 shows that the subfractions from fraction 1 are isotactic, ranging from 50-55% isotactic (essentially atactic) in the cold acetone soluble portions to 79-85% isotactic diads in the hot acetone insoluble portions. However, in fractions 2 and 3, the percentage of isotactic diads falls to 33-40%, corresponding to 60-67% syndiotactic diads (Figure 2). Although this is a lesser degree of stereoregularity

fractions la, Ib, Ic, 2, and 3. Downfield component corresponds to syndiotactic diads, upfield to isotactic.

than is present in the most isotactic fractions, it corresponds to a new stereospecificity compared to previously isolated material and could be expected to show different physical properties.

The molecular weights of the fractions are shown in Table 2. As expected, the highest molecular weights are for the hot acetone insoluble (most isotactic) fractions, progressively decreasing for the acetone soluble fractions and fractions 2 and 3. The degrees of polymerization observed for the syndiotactic fractions are $\overline{DP}_w = 27-50$ and $\overline{DP}_n = 6-11$, essentially short chains. Polydispersities are high, showing a broad distribution of molecular weights, with the lowest polydispersities occurring in the syndiotactic fractions.

The T_g 's of all fractions (Table 2) are similar, and essentially the same as that of bactenal PHB. The presence of a melting peak in each of the samples shows that they are crystalline, with higher values for ΔH_f indicating that the maximum amount of crystallinity occurs in the more isotactic fractions. The T_m of 159-161°C and ΔH_f of 55-62 J/g observed in these samples are slightly lower than the values of 179°C and 105 J/g reported for bacterial PHB, due to the lower percentage of isotactic diads present in the synthetic samples. The syndiotactic fractions also have reasonably high crystallinity, as shown by a melting peak at 74-91 °C with ΔH_f of 33-39 J/g; given the low \overline{M}_n of these samples, the T_m of high molecular weight syndiotactic PHB could be up to 80-100°C higher, as this amount of melting point depression is observed in bacterial PHB of similarly low molecular weight (19).

X-ray diffraction data (Figure 3) show significant differences between syndiotactic and isotactic PHB. Calculated d-spacings (Table 3) show that apart from two weak reflections missing in the synthetic isotactic due to its lower crystallinity, this crystal structure is the same as that of bacterial PHB. On the other hand, the diffraction of syndiotactic PHB is substantially different in terms

reaction	fraction	$\overline{\mathsf{M}}_{w}/\overline{\mathsf{M}}_{n}$	ζ_0^{T}	T_m (°C)	ΔH_f (J/g)
	1a 1b $\begin{array}{c} 1c \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$	9500/480 (19.8) 14 000/750 (18.7) 130 000/16 000 (8.1) 3500/550 (6.4) 4300/590 (7.3)	4 6 5 5 5	72 129 160 86 88	18 6 61 14 36
$\overline{2}$	1a 1b 1 _c $\frac{2}{3}$	4000/510 (7.8) 6300/440 (14.3) 91 000/5800 (15.7) 3200/740 (4.3) 2700/610 (4.4)	26532	91 113 159 84 83	11 3 55 33 35
3	1a 1b $\begin{array}{c} 1c \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$	8300/360 (23.1) 14 000/430 (32.6) 160 000/11 000 (14.5) 3700/920 (4.0) 2800/620 (4.5)	57512	70 76 161 91 74	10 20 62 34 39
4	1a 1b 1 _c $\frac{2}{3}$	1700/260 (6.5) 2400/360 (6.7) 43 000/1900 (22.6) 2700/530 (5.1) 2300/540 (4.2)	9 10 $\frac{6}{4}$ 4	80 84 157 84 78	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 8 \\ 23 \end{array}$ 37 38
bacterial (1,13, 18)		\overline{M}_{w} = 5000-1 500 000	5	179	105

Table 2: Molecular weights and thermal behaviour

Figure 3: X-ray *diffractometer scans for* product of reaction 2: a) syndiotactic and b) isotactic PH8

bacterial (20)		synthetic isotactic		synthetic syndiotactic	
(\AA)	intensity	$(\text{\AA} \pm 0.05)$	intensity	$(\text{\AA} \pm 0.10)$	intensity
6.55	S.	6.55	S	7.66	W
5.60	W				
5.25	S.	5.20	S	5.49	M
4.48	W	4.45	W	4.46	S
3.98	M	3.99	M	3.85	M
3.53	M	3.48	M		
3.27	w	3.27	w		
2.94	W	2.95	W		
2.55	w				

Table 3: D-spacings of natural, synthetic isotactic, and synthetic syndiotactic PHB

of both d-spacings and intensities. Efforts are currently underway to further examine the crystal structure of the syndiotactic material.

Although a detailed study of the experimental conditions required to prepare syndiotactic PHB remains to be done, it should be noted that the preparation of this material is not restricted to the MAO catalyst system. Second fractions have been isolated from earlier reactions in this laboratory (21,22), using either 1:1 Me3AI:H₂O or 1:1 (iBu)₃AI:H₂O catalysis; these have also been shown by ¹³C nmr to contain more syndiotactic than isotactic diads, although to a lesser extent than the samples just described. These are of higher molecular weight than the samples isolated from the reactions with MAO, and show DSC melting peaks as well as the characteristic syndiotactic x-ray diffraction pattern. Thus, at least three of the alkylaluminum catalyst systems are capable of producing syndiotactic PHB.

Conclusions:

This study has shown that although only isotactic PHB has been isolated from natural sources, it is also possible to form a predominantly syndiotactic backbone with this unit, and to isolate such material from a reaction intended to produce isotactic material. This syndiotactic material has been shown by 1 H and 13 C nmr to be pure, and to contain 60-67% syndiotacticdiads. Molecular weights of these samples were low, but a definite melting peak is observed and x-ray diffraction patterns display d-spacings and relative intensities significantly different from those of isotactic PHB.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by Xerox Corp. and by a fellowship grant to P.J.H. by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References:

- 1. Holmes, P.A. (1988) "Biologically Produced (R)-3-Hydroxyalkanoate Polymers and Copolymers". In Basset, D.C. (ed) *Developments in Crystalline Polymers.* Elsevier, New York (vol 2, pp 1-65)
- 2. Anderson, A.J. and Dawes, E.A. (1990) *Microbiological Reviews* 54:450
- 3. Cornibert, J. and Marchessault, R.H. (1972) *J. Mol. Biol.* 71:735
- 4. Yokouchi, M., Chatani, Y., Tadokoro, H., Teranishi, K., and Tani, H. (1973) *Polymer14:267*
- 5. Agostini, D.E., Lando, J.B., and Shelton, J.R. (1971) *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem.* Ed. 9:2775
- 6. Tani, H., Yamashita, S., and Teranishi, K., (1972) *Polym. J.* 3:417
- 7. Teranishi, K., lida, M., Araki,T., Yamashita, S., and Tani, H. (1974) *Macromolecules* 7:421
- 8. lida, M., Araki, T., Teranishi, K., and Tani, H. (1977) *Macromolecules* 10:275
- 9. Kemnitzer, J.E., McCarthy, S., and Gross, R.A. (1990) *Polym. Preprints* 31:435
- 10. Zhang, Y., Gross, R.A., and Lenz, R.W. (1990) *Macromolecules* 23:3206
- 11. Takeichi, T. Hieda, Y., and Takayama, Y., (1988) *Polym. J.* 20:159
- 12. Le Borgne, A. and Spassky, N., (1989) *Polymer30:2312*
- 13. Bloembergen, S., Holden, D.A., Bluhm, T.L., Hamer, G.K., and Marchessault, **R.H.** (1989) *Macromolecules* 22:1656
- 14. Gross, R.A., Zhang, Y., Konrad, G., and Lenz, R.W. (1988) *Macromolecules* 21:2657
- 15. Benvenuti, M. and Lenz, R.W. (1991) *J. Polym. Sci., Polym Chem. Ed.* 29:793
- 16. Dawkins, J.V. (1968) *J. Macromol. Sci., Phys. Ed.* 2:623
- 17. Akita, S., Einaga, Y., Miyaki, Y., and Fujita, H. (1976) *Macromoleculesg:774*
- 18. Barham, P.J., Keller, A., Otun, E.L., and Holmes, P.A. (1984)J. *Mat. Sci.* 19:2781
- 19. Marchessault, R.H., Coulombe, S., Morikawa, H., Okamura, K., and Revol, J.F. (1981) *Can. J. Chem.* 59:38
- 20. Alper, R., Lundgren, D.G., Marchessault, R.H., and Cote, W.A. (1963) *Biopolymers* 1:545
- 21. Jesudason, J.J., Marchessautt, R.H., and Saito, T. *Journal of Environmental Polymer Degradation* accepted Oct. 1992
- 22. Hocking, P.J. and Jesudason, J.J. unpublished results

Accepted November 30, 1992 K